

AuditCon 2019 Detailed Session Information

(In order by session number)

Keynote: The Pulse of Higher Education

Howard Teibel, President, Teibel Education Consulting

The convergence of three things - demographic projections showing a decline in student enrollment for the next 10 years, the increasing lack of student affordability, and the underlying question of the value proposition - these three factors make the case to bring fundamental change to higher education. It's easy to be overwhelmed, indifferent or resigned by these provocations. How do you engage in these issues where there is no concrete solution? In this talk we will learn what it means to be change agents in our respective roles, bringing a disposition of openness to this uncertain future. We'll dive into how authentic transformation is fueled by learning how to be in a different style conversation, one that is investigatory, thought-provoking, and allows us to think about the future in a mood of curiosity and shared concern.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Recognize moods that open and close possibilities for you and your team.
- Distinguish being a problem-solver versus navigating an emerging concern.
- Give and receive feedback with more ease.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Personal Development

Prerequisites: None

General Session: The Diversity Difference and the Inclusion and Innovation Impact

Menah Pratt-Clark, Vice President of Strategic Affairs & Diversity,
Virginia Tech

In today's complex higher education landscape, diversity, inclusion, and equity are often connected to innovation and transformation. Institutions are increasingly recognizing the value, importance, and necessity of implementing sustainable institutional transformation around diversity and inclusion. This session will explore the challenges and opportunities around actualizing the value propositions associated with diversity, inclusion, and equity. It will examine the "Diversity Difference" and the "Inclusion and Innovation Impact" in the higher education environment.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe the importance of diversity in higher education.
- Explain the value of inclusion.
- Discuss the opportunities and challenges associated with diversity.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

Keynote: Proven Techniques to Increase Internal Audit's Value

Ann Butera, The Whole Person Project

Given the rate of change and as the complexity of higher education intensifies, the actions that have made you successful to date may not work tomorrow. Consequently, the ability to create value and turn new challenges into advantages is critical. The objective is to identify and deliver what is currently valuable to your constituents.

During this keynote session, Ann M. Butera, CRP, President of The Whole Person Project, Inc. will explain innovative ways you and your internal audit team members can add value to your organization.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Define "value" and the attributes of value-added auditing.
- Leverage methods that other auditors have used to add value to their organizations.

- Use the critical Linkage TM to focus on value-added opportunities.
- Communicate ideas and results in a way that demonstrates the value your department provides.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

General Session: When Culture & Crisis Collide: The Anatomy of a Great Big Mess

Leigh Goller, Duke University

Duke University recently settled a federal lawsuit brought forward by a whistleblower. In addition to the \$112.5 million cost of settlement, Duke has spent the last six years investigating and evaluating what happened, how it happened, and the impact the misconduct has had on culture, business processes and assurance programs. This session will describe what happened, highlight how culture and crisis have influenced Duke, and describe the role internal audit and compliance assurance has played before, during and after the events. Although the whistleblower case was focused on research misconduct, this session will emphasize how personnel misconduct in general can have significant impact on the institution and how assurance programs can provide insight to leaders on organization structure and business process improvements, and serve to help repair the damage done (and maybe prevent the ones that have not happened yet).

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Evaluate strengths and weaknesses in the “speak up” culture.
- Raise awareness of what whistleblowers may know about your institution.
- Design internal audit strategies that align with organizational risk for misconduct.
- Identify potential root causes for personnel misconduct.

- Understand the organizational impact and recovery related to misconduct.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Behavioral Ethics/Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

Keynote: The State of Digital Ethics in 2019: Excitement, Caution and Hope

John O'Brien, President/CEO, EDUCAUSE

Ethical concerns related to technology innovation are hardly new. Today, however, the hype around educational technology innovation often masks nuanced, powerful, and sometimes grave ethical entanglements. With weekly headlines about ethical ramifications of emerging technologies and the appearance of privacy near the very top of the EDUCAUSE Top 10 IT Issues for 2019, concerns about digital ethics are likely to intensify. In this keynote, John O'Brien will make the case for excitement, caution, and hope in this exceedingly challenging landscape—with higher education potentially leading the way.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify at least half a dozen concrete areas of substantive ethical concern.
- Identify several actions they can take to address ethical concerns on their campus.
- Comprehend the role their campus can and will play in the area of digital ethics.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Information Technology

Prerequisites: None

A1: Becoming a Trusted Advisor by Branding Your Audit Department

Michael Moody, Institute Auditor, MIT

Do you still hear outdated and common misconceptions of internal auditing from within your organization? Instead of ignoring the auditor jokes and allowing others to define your value, learn how to brand your services and promote your value to current and future clients.

Understand that marketing your brand is an important step in becoming a value-added, trusted advisor.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain why a brand is so important.
- Describe how to create your brand identity.
- Develop marketing tools and strategies to promote your brand.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Communications and Marketing

Prerequisites: None

A2: Accepting Unacceptable Risk: A Case Study

Kimberly (Kim) Turner, Chief Audit Executive, Texas Tech University System

This session will be an interactive case study based on a real-life review of executive expenses with complex governance issues. We will review the IIA Standards on reporting and the auditor's responsibility in cases where management chooses to accept risk. Participants will engage in discussions about audit reporting, written and verbal, where sensitive information is involved, focusing on ways to maintain positive client relationships in the face of difficult circumstances. During the case study, we will work through the delicacies of situations such as where senior leadership does not take the recommended steps to address a situation.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain the IIA Standards related to reporting and communication of excess risk.
- Build negotiation and communication skills.
- Demonstrate how to preserve positive working relationships under difficult circumstances.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

A3: Internal Audit and Information Security Working Together for ERM

Mark Ruppert, Chief Auditor, Northern Arizona University

If risk assessment to develop an audit program is one level of maturity, building a University-wide Enterprise Risk Management approach to guide leadership decision-making including audit plan decisions is the wise old man. We will discuss the NAU approach to building just that level of ERM, which while not yet so nicely aged, is well on its way. Combining IA and IT Security resources to facilitate the effort has proven valuable to building executive and other leader interest and commitment in ERM, driving broader GRC system consideration and decisions to support ERM across the board, and developing an IA/IT relationship better than any I've enjoyed in my previous CAE roles. Presentation will include approach to combined IA/IT Security involvement, ERM plan and approach, and successes and challenges in developing the child into an adolescent and the adolescent into an adult.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Demonstrate how an established IA/IT Security Relationship can benefit your university.
- Apply an ERM approach focused on opportunities as well as challenges.
- Identify IA/IT Security Approaches to ERM.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

A4: Untapped Resources: How Building Relationships Between IA and Faculty Can Increase Productivity

Kara Kearney-Saylor, Director of Internal Audit, University at Buffalo

Lorrie Metzger, Clinical Assistant Professor, University at Buffalo

Learn how you can replicate UB's partnership between School of Management faculty and the Internal Audit department. This successful partnership has led to class projects that successfully conducted multiple Information Technology audits with students performing test work; designed data analytics covering areas such as pcards, duplicate payments, and travel expenses; presented findings and recommendations to IT and Financial leadership; and built stronger ties between Information Technology, Finance & Administration, Internal Audit and Academic units. By implementing a similar program at your school, you can ensure more audit work is completed with minimal impact to your departmental resources.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Build strong relationships with faculty.
- Develop student projects that can be mutually beneficial.
- Use data analytics covering areas such as pcards, duplicate payments, and travel expenses to build stronger ties between Information Technology, Finance & Administration, Internal Audit and Academic units.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Business Management & Organization

Prerequisites: None

A5: Annual Risk Assessment and Audit Planning

Sharon Kurek, Executive Director of Audit, Risk, and Compliance, Virginia Tech

A6: Actionable Advice for Negotiation

Bryan Paine, Senior Associate, RSM US LLP

In any engagement, there are a lot of moving parts to juggle. Even if you have the best intentions, it's easy to become distracted by the motivations and agenda of your client, thus extending timelines and delaying progress. This one-hour session aims to cover the basic elements of negotiation during an engagement and provide you with the tools you need to be heard, overcome objections, and close engagements with fewer "edits."

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Define stages and elements of the negotiation process.
- Develop techniques of a successful negotiator.
- Identify optimal value add solutions during an engagement.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Personal Development

Prerequisites: None

A7: Risk Partners: Integrating Audit, Risk, & Compliance

Carrie Frandsen, Systemwide ERM Program Manager, University of California

Peter Cataldo, Associate Audit Director, University of California – Office of the President

Learn how the University of California coordinated its risk management, internal audit, and compliance functions to more effectively support leadership and the board in managing the University's risks. Co-presenters Carrie Frandsen and Peter Cataldo will discuss how the

University of California developed a “risk partners” approach. They will provide an overview of why they developed this approach, the challenges and successes the University encountered, and examples of the risk assessment methods they use. The session will conclude with an inter-active survey and discussion on collaborative risk assessment strategies, including the recognition of inherent challenges and best-practices in elevating a campus’ risk management capability.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Learn how roles and responsibilities for risk assessment and monitoring are defined and effectively communicated to stakeholders;
- Attain information on how to integrate risk and compliance governance into management planning and decision making, as well as communicate timely and accurate risk information to the appropriate individuals;
- Understand the methodology and mechanisms used to identify risks in a multi-campus environment and the process utilized to develop annual work plans;
- Recognize barriers to effective collaboration and identify practical solutions to forming successful partnerships

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Business Management & Organization

Prerequisites: None

A8: Our Triumphs and Challenges Using Agile Auditing Principles

Lisa Nykolyshyn, Associate University Auditor, University of Alberta - Edmonton, AB

Are you interested in employing “agile auditing” in your audit department but you are not quite sure where to start? Come and listen to the University of Alberta’s triumphs and challenges over the past year as we learned to apply key agile auditing principles. Hear how it has led to more responsive audit plans, made auditors more confident in their audit approach for complex audits, and helped clients and the Board feel

more engaged throughout each audit. The University of Alberta's Internal Audit Services department is happy to share the lessons learned during the first year of its journey after embracing this more nimble way of managing audit projects.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe the goals of agile auditing.
- Apply operationalize key agile auditing principles.
- Identify the importance of regular reflection in terms of improving audit agility.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

A9: Climbing the Ranks: Best Practices for Preventing Fraud and Misreporting in Admissions and Institutional Data

Christopher Garrity, Director of Internal Audit, Saint Joseph's University

Adrienne Larmett, Senior Manager, Baker Tilly

Loretta Maguire, Director of Internal Audit, The College of New Jersey

College and university admissions practices have recently come under fire. Whether due to the largest known admissions scam ever prosecuted by the US Department of Justice, where at least 50+ people are accused of cheating by reporting falsified data to gain admissions into elite institutions, or to institutions struggling to fill incoming classes, processes to admit students are gaining increased attention. Colleges and universities collect and report out on a variety of statistics related to incoming students. Inaccurate data reporting, whether intentional or not, can lead to a misrepresentation of the institution. In addition, misreported data can result in loss of accreditation and/or the removal of the school's name in college rankings and/or guidebooks, which has a direct impact on its ability to recruit new students. Join this session to learn how internal audit can aid colleges and universities in addressing the risks associated with admissions and institutional data reporting.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Define the admissions and data reporting landscape and processes.
- Identify how and why fraud and misreporting occur, and their impacts on an institution.
- Develop approaches for evaluating controls over admissions and data reporting.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Business Management & Organization

Prerequisites: None

A10: What's Up with Accounting Standard Setters

Sue Menditto, Senior Director, Accounting Policy, NACUBO

This session will provide a roundup of key accounting changes (GASB and FASB) and help internal auditors understand the reason behind proposed and new changes. Since most ACUA members are from public institutions, at least 60 percent of the time will cover GASB and its "Big Three Projects." The Big Three are financial reporting changes, revenue and expense recognition, and financial statement disclosures. FASB items will focus on reporting model implementation discoveries, and grants and contracts. Department of Education proposals that involve accounting information will also be addressed.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain GASB proposals' impact on Higher Education.
- Discuss changes in revenue recognition.
- Explain what FASB has on the horizon.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Accounting

Prerequisites: Education

A11: Calling all Small Shops

LaDonna Flynn, Director of Internal Audit, Pittsburg State University

We will be polling all ACUA member small audit shops and see what they need help with; i.e. risk assessments, audit programs, governance, etc. Once the list is complete, we will ask attendees, registered in this session, to bring tools that fit the request for help with them on a flash drive. The session will focus on discussing the tools and how others can use the tools. The session will also discuss any areas that others asked for help. We will be taking minutes of the session. Once the conference is over, all the attendees would receive a copy of the tools discussed and minutes from the session. Also, any small audit shop, whether they attended or not, that requested help during the polling, would get a copy of the tools discussed at the conference and minutes of the session. The tools brought on flash drives will be saved to the presenter's laptop during the session so the tools can be sent back out after the session.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain the value of connecting small audit shops with other small shops to share ideas.
- Discuss how small audit shops can develop strategies to conquer their unique issues.
- Apply new tools to make their small shop more efficient and effective.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: Yes, see Session Description

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

A12: The Power of Partnership: A CAE Roundtable

Kimberly (Kim) Turner, Chief Audit Executive, Texas Tech University System

CAEs have opportunities to develop powerful insight and leverage their work through effective partnerships. Participants will discuss partnering within the audit function, with institutional “level 2” functions, with internal and external subject matter experts, and with senior leadership and the board. This roundtable will provide a moderated and timed environment where CAEs and directors with varying perspectives will discuss, and even challenge, best practices related to internal audit leadership, emerging risks, and university governance, risk management, and control practices. The session will be entirely interactive, consisting of robust group discussion and starting with a pre-conference survey of attendees to gather additional topics of interest.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Discuss and employ best practices in internal audit management.
- Identify partnership opportunities for CAEs within the audit function, with other institutional offices, with senior leaders, and externally.

Knowledge Level: Advanced

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: Experience in an internal audit leadership position

B1: Access Management - The First Line of Defense for Cyber security

Carol Rapps, Asst Director Internal Audit, Information Systems, The University of Texas at San Antonio

The intent of the presentation is to provide participants with an understanding of access management discipline for cloud based systems. For the purpose of this presentation, access management is the security discipline that enables the right individuals to access the right resources at the right times for the right reasons. Using the presenters 30 years plus of IT auditing and IT management experience

the participants will have the opportunity to gain an understanding of and discuss the difference between cybersecurity and information security. Why access management is the “first line” of defense in the cyber security environment. Cyber security access control methodologies and how they differ from previous access management methodologies. The roles of the organizations management, the cloud provider, internal auditors and external auditors in the management of access to cloud base systems and data stores.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe the types of access control systems/methodologies and how they are used to limit access to critical resources.
- Explain how identity and access management work together and how this is changed to address access to cloud based systems.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Information Technology

Prerequisites: None

B2: The Third Line of Defense in Cybersecurity – Internal Audit and the UC Cybersecurity Audit Team

Greg Loge, Systemwide Cybersecurity Audit Director, University of California

Matthew Hicks, Systemwide Deputy Audit Officer, University of California

This presentation will discuss the three lines of defense model, and how it can be applied to cybersecurity. We will discuss how internal audit, as the third line has to evolve to address cybersecurity risks in its unique role as an independent function reporting directly to senior leadership and the board. To address these ever-increasing and evolving threats, the University of California created a specialized team with cybersecurity expertise to lead cyber-focused internal audit projects across the University of California system. The presentation will cover the creation of the internal audit cybersecurity audit team (CAT), structure, and its

integration with the overall cyber risk efforts across UC, focusing on the unique role internal audit can play in driving positive change for improving cyber risk management.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain how cybersecurity expertise within internal audit integrates into the overall cyber risk management approach for an organization.
- Describe how traditionally technical cybersecurity control focused reviews, such as penetration testing, can be incorporated into an internal audit project to reduce cyber risk and provide assurance to the board and senior leadership.
- Discuss how to build a cybersecurity subject matter team, performing work across an entire system, including campuses and academic medical centers.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing/Information Technology

Prerequisites: None

B3: GDPR in Higher Education - The Journey to Compliance

Shane McQuitty, Associate Director, Protiviti, Inc.

Cathy Hubbs, Chief Information Security Officer (CISO), American University

Joel Wuesthoff, Managing Director, Robert Half Legal (Protiviti's Parent Company)

As the regulation went into effect on May 25, 2018, all applicable organizations are required to be in compliance with every GDPR requirement. With its extensive content and shift mandating a focus on protecting the individual as opposed to controlling organizational processes, many organizations are having challenges adopting GDPR's requirements. This training will go through a refresher on what the regulation is (scope of applicable data, rights of the data subject, compliance requirements etc.) but will mainly focus on a use case of one University's journey to compliance, from a readiness assessment

through to establishing and executing a roadmap to compliance. Attendees should leave with lessons learned they can apply on their journey to compliance, including how to maintain it going forward.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Define the key components of GDPR.
- Describe hurdles and lessons learned in performing the GDPR assessment and working towards compliance.
- Discuss the benefits of compliance and tips for maintaining compliance going forward.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

B4: Leveraging Audit Analytics for Institutional Risk Assurance

Mark Perry, Audit Director, Cornell University

This session will explore how to leverage audit analytics to enhance overall institutional risk assurance capabilities through alignment of internal audit analytics programs with 1st and 2nd line functions and objectives. Learn how audit-developed routines and processes can be a catalyst for successful implementation or enhancement of management's analytical capabilities. Engagement with real-world scenarios will challenge internal auditors to re-think how to work with their organizations to achieve optimal results and add value beyond what internal audit can accomplish on its own.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe how well-designed and thoughtfully implemented audit analytics can empower 1st and 2nd line management functions to better achieve organizational objectives.
- Summarize how internal audit can support management's development and implementation of data analytical capabilities for enhanced internal control and compliance monitoring.

- Formulate a roadmap to leverage and transition audit-developed analytics and related practices to management functions.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: Experience in audit analytics programs is helpful

B5: Cyber Security Emerging Trends for Colleges and Universities

Tony Hubbard, Principal, KPMG

Colleges and universities are facing increased cyber security risk. As a key member of government and industry supply chains, colleges and universities need to have controls and processes in place to support these supply chain stakeholders. These challenges are magnified by the ever emerging cyber security and technology risks and high demand for cyber security professionals. Join this session to learn more about how colleges and universities can maintain a proactive cyber security program to not only help comply with government requirements (e.g., NIST 800-53 and 171), but to also highlight their cyber security capabilities as a differentiator for their branding efforts. Also learn about how automation techniques can be applied to help deal with the high demand for cyber security professionals. We will also discuss the applicability of increased cyber security risks to the audit programs supporting colleges and universities.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- State cyber security emerging trends, notably automation of cyber and technology processes.
- Explain compliance requirements colleges and universities need to address, notably NIST 800-53 and 800-171.
- Identify risks colleges and universities face in their technology and cyber supply chains (and as a supply chain provider).

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Information Technology

Prerequisites: None

B6: IT Audit Demystified

Ivy Finglas, IT Audit Manager, University of New Hampshire

This presentation is designed for business auditors and or junior IT auditors who would like to learn more about IT Audit. First, we will cover how your business auditing skills can be harnessed in IT auditing as well as the importance of business data and the alignment to the underlying computing resources. Then we will discuss how to research an IT audit, plan, scope, pick the right controls to test using general controls as a baseline and the key resources that will help you design a robust audit program for the area you are reviewing. We will discuss controls that should always be part of an audit and why they are important. We will use examples as necessary. We will cover how to gain confidence in this craft to continuously improve your IT audit and security skills and learn new skills through practical application. Time permitting, we will talk about cybersecurity and how that fits into the IT Auditors daily life.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain the alignment between IT audit and business auditing.
- Discuss how to properly research any IT audit.
- Discuss types of control to test with examples.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Information Technology

Prerequisites: None

B7: Responding to Risk in an IoT World

Orus Dearman, Managing Director, Cyber Risk and Privacy, Grant Thornton LLP

Matt Unterman, Principal, Higher Education Advisory Services Grant Thornton LLP

Seth Kornetsky, Executive Director, Audit & Management Advisory Services, Tufts University

IoT is impacting your organization right now whether you're aware of it or not. Devices are becoming smarter with IoT use in organizations only increasing. Everything from light bulbs, to temperature sensors, to personal devices are finding their way into organizations' networks often without considering the risks associated with it. Fundamental challenges pertaining to governance and network security associated with IoT exist and take time and effort to first identify the risks, and then develop solutions to effectively manage them. But the first step is gaining visibility into IoT devices uses and purposes. Audit organizations need to be prepared to face this challenge and be positioned to respond to it.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe what IoT is and trends for adoption.
- List common IoT threats and where organizations typically struggle in managing them.
- Describe how audit organizations can add value in IoT adoption and leading practices for conducting IoT internal audits.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

B8: Conducting an Incident Response from a Digital Forensics Standpoint

Damon Hacker, President & CEO, Vestige Digital Investigations

This presentation will look at the methodologies and techniques for conducting an incident response from the perspective of a forensic examiner. It looks at some foundational items such as why an IR examination is conducted, the kinds of evidence that are helpful, and legal and practical implications from a forensic standpoint. We explore the necessity of preserving evidence, tools & techniques for analyzing and interpreting the data and how to bring it all together into a process.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Demonstrate how Forensic Examiners approach Evidence specific to cybersecurity incidents.
- Explain practical steps and tools that you can use to conduct a response.
- Discuss exposure Tips, Tricks & Traps when conducting an Incident Response.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

B9: Major System Implementations – How Auditors Engage For Success

Mike Cullen, Senior Manager, Baker Tilly

Student success, fiscal responsibility, and business process innovation are all critical goals for higher education institutions. As such many institutions are investing in new technology systems to help meet those goals. These major system implementations are full of risks. Internal Auditors can play a key role helping your institution identify, manage, and report on risks related to these major initiatives. Learn how to engage you and your team for success in this area.

Join us for discussion with a panel of higher education professionals where you will interact with the panel through interactive polling and Q&A.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe how auditors can engage stakeholders on major systems implementations.
- Benchmark your institution via real-time interactive polling on panelist and audience driven questions.
- Discuss lessons learned from panelists who have been engaged on major systems implementations.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Information Technology

Prerequisites: Experience in auditing higher education systems/applications or the processes they support

B10: IT Auditors and Directors Roundtable

Barry White, Director Information Technology Auditing, Johns Hopkins Institutions

John O'Brien, President/CEO, EDUCAUSE

This roundtable provides an open forum for directors and technical auditors to discuss issues pertinent to the higher education information systems auditing arena. IT Auditors are regularly challenged as new technologies present new risks. Registrants will be surveyed for discussion topics in the weeks prior to the conference. Recent roundtables have included lively and insightful discussion on information technology risk assessment and mitigation.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Discuss IT risks including cybersecurity, 3rd party contracts, phishing attacks, mobile device management, web security.
- Prioritize IT risks in your own shop and develop an effective audit plan.
- Develop a list of peer contacts for future networking.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Information Technology

Prerequisites: Experience

B11: IT Risks and Opportunities in Academia – Critical Areas and Practices from a Board, Executive Management and Audit Perspective

Johan Lidros, President, Eminere Group

Patrick Graber, Chief Audit Executive, Board of the Swiss Federal Institutes of Technology

IT has a growing impact on the Academic institution's success. The Board and the Audit Committee should be aware about the existing and emerging challenges in this area and the way the institution could use and/or manage them. The audit department plays a central role in identifying these topics and bring them to the attention of the Board and Audit Committee as well as by including appropriate audit areas in its audit plan. The session will also include successful examples of implemented IT risk and governance practices in an Academic environment. The following items will be addressed to help you better identify the topics to be discussed:

- New technology impact/risks (blockchain, SDN, SDDC, IoT or IoMT, etc.)
- Key 2020 IT risks and opportunities in Academia
- Evolving IT risks to discuss with management/board
- Successful Board and Executive Management IT Governance Practices in Academia

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify key IT risks and opportunities in an Academic environment.
- Describe trending and successful IT governance and risk management best practices in an Academic environment.
- Discuss IT challenges in an evolving IT risk environment.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None
Field of Study: Information Technology
Prerequisites: None

B12: It's Just Someone Else's Computer: Assessing and Understanding Security in Cloud Computing

Brian Markham, AVP, Information Security, George Washington University

Cloud computer is here and it's here to stay (for a while anyway). Unfortunately, there's still many myths and misunderstandings on how to achieve reasonable security of data in the cloud. The purpose of this session is to dispel some of these myths and review cloud computing technology and how it can be utilized while prioritizing and maintaining effective security controls.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Discuss security fundamentals, specifically as they pertain to cloud applications and infrastructure.
- Explain and evaluate software-as-a-service (SaaS) applications and acceptable industry frameworks.
- Explain and evaluate infrastructure-as-a-service (IaaS) applications and infrastructure and acceptable industry frameworks.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate
Advanced Preparation: None
Field of Study: Information Technology
Prerequisites: None

C1: Clery Act Compliance: "What Does It Mean?"

Danielle Bundy, Director of Internal Audit, Colorado Community College System

David Summerlin, Internal Audit Senior Manager, Colorado Community College System

Compliance with the Clery Act is a hot topic within the Department of Education and recent fines for noncompliance have soared into the million-dollar range. Compliance is complex and requires a whole institution approach. In this presentation, you will learn the risks of noncompliance with the Clery Act and how to structure an audit to properly address risks. Common areas of noncompliance and best practices will be explored using case studies.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Develop an audit program guide for compliance with the Clery Act.
- Identify resources to use in a Clery audit.
- Recognize common areas of noncompliance and best practices.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

C2: Evaluating the University-Wide Compliance Function

Eric Groen, Managing Director, Protiviti

Gates Garrity-Rokous, Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer, The Ohio State University

Compliance responsibilities in universities typically develop reactively, in response to new requirements or issues, while decentralized governance often leads such functions to develop differently and in silos.

Inadequacies within such a compliance silo, or failures occurring between them, often receive attention or resources only when something negative happens. Efforts to improve through a focus on “ethics,” while well-intended, can further result in disconnected efforts and missed opportunities. The lack of a proactive, coordinated ethics and compliance program leaves an institution vulnerable to reputational harm as well as enforcement fines and penalties. This session takes an in-depth look into evaluating the ethics and compliance function and providing recommendations for continuous improvement of the function. We will discuss leading practices and components of effective

ethics and compliance programs, and how to best align such programs with the unique culture and governance of an institution. Finally, the session will address the important role of internal audit in evaluating and promoting an institution's ethics and compliance program.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify key benefits to developing centralized oversight of ethics and compliance activities through a programmatic approach.
- Evaluate existing ethics and compliance activities by learning the key attributes, practices and components of an effective ethics and compliance program.
- Develop key recommendations for improvement based on the evaluation to help improve the performance of a university's ethics and compliance program.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Management Services

Prerequisites: None

C3: Responding to Foreign Influence Concerns on Campus

Ashley Dehr, Director, Baker Tilly

Missy Peloso, Associate Vice President & Associate Vice Provost,
University of Pennsylvania

Recent focus on the threat of foreign influence to U.S. national security has resulted in increased scrutiny of academic institutions, specifically the potential for theft of intellectual property through diversion from the peer review process or transfer of research results to foreign entities. You may have received information requests from a funding agency or even had a visit from the FBI! How do you know what to worry about, and how can internal audit help? During this session, you will hear firsthand about the following challenges from an expert research administration leader:

- Federal agency disclosure requirements on foreign sources of support.

- Department of Education reporting requirements on foreign gifts/contracts.
- Risks of foreign talent programs (e.g., China's "Thousand Talents Program")
- Heightened awareness of foreign visitors to campus.
- Risk intersect section with export controls.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify, assess, and communicate risks.
- Perform targeted reviews of high risk areas or processes to provide assurance that controls are operating effectively.
- Perform continuous monitoring activities.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: Experience in research auditing or administration

C4: Internal Controls: Looking at Sponsored Research through the COSO Lens

Albana Cejne, Assistant Director, Sponsored Research Audit, Princeton University

Christiana Oppong, Senior Auditor, Princeton University

Office of Management and Budget 2 CFR 200, also known as Uniform Guidance, establishes the administrative requirements, cost principles and audit requirements for federal awards. Uniform Guidance has placed an emphasis on internal controls and with that, it has charged institutions with the task of establishing and maintaining effective internal controls. In addition, Uniform Guidance refers to the COSO Internal Controls Framework as guidance for designing, implementing and conducting internal controls and assessing effectiveness. This session will discuss how the internal audit office can collaborate with the sponsored research offices to document the institutional internal controls. This session is designed to provide details on documenting the internal controls for sponsored research by applying the five

components of the COSO model through different elements of the compliance supplements, such as allowability/allocability, subrecipient monitoring, procurement, reporting and so forth.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify the internal controls expectations as listed on the Uniform Guidance and the institution's responsibility of documenting such controls.
- Discuss sponsored research terminology such as allowability, allocability and reasonableness, including timeliness and documentation.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing/Governmental Auditing

Prerequisites: Education in accounting/auditing and Experience in sponsored research

C5: Research Compliance in a Decentralized Environment

Michael Bowers, Associate Director, Audit Division, MIT

Vesna Zaccheo, Audit Services Manager, MIT

Kallie Firestone, Senior Compliance Specialist, MIT

Through a case study of the MIT Audit Division's role in research compliance and how the Division differentiates between traditional internal audits and compliance consulting programs, attendees will become familiar with the Research Administration Compliance Program, which provides guidance and monitoring to MIT's Departments, Laboratories, and Centers (DLCs) management relative to post award sponsored research administration and other fiduciary responsibilities.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- MIT Audit Division's role in research compliance and how the Division differentiates between Process Audits and Departmental Site Visits (post award compliance monitoring audits)

- Methods being used to assist DLCs in managing their compliance responsibilities
- Growing role of data analytics in post award administration

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

C6: Navigating Civil Rights Compliance

Danielle Bundy, Director of Internal Audit, Colorado Community College System

David Summerlin, Internal Audit Senior Manager, Colorado Community College System

How can we help ensure our institutions are compliant with Federal civil rights laws? In this presentation, you will learn how to develop an audit program guide to identify common areas of noncompliance in policies and procedures as well as common structural issues that may prevent a student with a disability from meaningfully completing a program. Common areas of noncompliance and best practices will be explored using case studies.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Develop an audit program guide for compliance with Federal civil rights laws
- Identify resources to use in a civil rights compliance audit
- Recognize common areas of noncompliance and best practices

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

C7: Congratulations, You've Been Selected for an NSF Incurred Cost Audit! What the NSF Auditors are Looking For and How Internal Audit Can Help Prepare For a Successful Outcome

Lynn Gonzalez, Senior Auditor, Oregon State University

Megan Mesko, Partner, Cotton & Company LLC

Many institutions have experienced an NSF performance audit of incurred costs, but have you heard tales from the other side? This presentation will feature the insights into the audit process, institutional challenges, and most commonly seen red flags from the perspective of an external auditing agency frequently contracted by NSF. The presenters will also review the results of a university's current incurred cost audit as well as lesson learned and improvements made to policies and processes during the course of the audit. We will also share meaningful ways in which the internal audit function may assist in preparing your institution for a successful audit outcome. This presentation will also detail the newly adopted format for future incurred cost audits.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify the most common challenges for grant management NSF auditors observe while conducting audits
- Discuss red flags external auditors observe and the most common disallowed costs
- Review Oregon State University's audit results and how improvements to policies/processes were made during the audit
- Describe ways in which internal audit may assist in preparing your institution for a successful outcome
- Review the new format for incurred cost audits

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing/Governmental Auditing

Prerequisites: None

C8: Tales from the Trenches: Lessons Learned about Auditing Research

Toni Stephens, Chief Audit Executive, The University of Texas at Dallas

Once upon a time, universities began doing research. Researchers brought in lots of money to the universities. Regulators began enacting laws to govern the research funds. Auditors were brought in to provide assurance that these funds were being effectively managed and funds were being spent in accordance with these laws. Research is not only one of the highest risks at a university, it's one of the most valuable audits on the audit plan.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify common research risks.
- Demonstrate audit procedures for common research risks on campus.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

C9: Using Data Analytics and Visualization to Manage Sponsored Project Risk

Erin Baker, Data Analytics Program Manager, The University of Texas System

Dyan Hudson, Director, Specialty Audit Services, The University of Texas System

Our universities may have hundreds, or possibly thousands, of sponsored research projects underway at any given time. How do we know where our audit resources are of most value? As with most things, look in the data! This session will highlight “Top 10” sponsored research risks, and how analytics and visualization can be used to identify the highest risk projects (and PIs). IDEA and Tableau will be used to demonstrate how one university’s sponsored research office has taken the analytics and visualizations developed by internal audit, and deployed them for regular use in monitoring sponsored research projects across campus.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain risks related to sponsored project management and monitoring.
- Identify examples of data analytics methods to measure sponsored project risk.
- Discuss visualizations useful in highlighting high risk projects.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: Experience in auditing sponsored projects

C10: Compliance Considerations Introduced by the Revised Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects (Common Rule)

Megan Kasimatis Singleton, Assistant Dean, Human Research Protections and Director of the Human Research Protections Program, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

Ending five and a half years of rulemaking and speculation, the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and 15 other Federal Agencies released a final revision of the Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects, or "Common Rule," on January 19, 2017. These are the first revisions to the Common Rule since it was promulgated in 1991. What specific changes to the Common Rule have been incorporated in the new rule? What are the implications of these revised regulations for IRBs and Human Research Protections Programs? What changes should internal auditors and others responsible for providing research compliance assurance be aware of that have been made to administrative processes and procedures to promote compliance with the new federal policy? This session will provide an overview of the revised regulations, allowing participants to not only learn about the changes to the Rule but also which areas of focus should be included when conducting research compliance assurance reviews of this important area.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe the major changes included in the new rule.
- Identify areas in IRB operations and administration that have been changed that internal auditors should be aware of.
- Discuss the key research compliance risk areas that internal auditors or others responsible for compliance assurance should focus on when conducting reviews.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

C11: Interviewing Techniques for Auditors

John Grimes, III, Adjunct Faculty, Chief Inspector (RET), CFE,CFI,
Stevenson University

This presentation will explore forensic interviewing techniques in the pursuit of eliciting truthful information from a variety of interviewees, including suspects. The presentation will discuss interviewing as a craft, which involves best practice steps to achieve interview objectives. In this regard, instruction on interview methods that will assist in breaking down barriers and building trust with uncooperative, reluctant, and hostile interviewees will be offered. Additionally, attendees will learn the proper phrasing of open, clarifying, and direct questions to assist in detecting truth, deception, and lies, followed by instruction of asking appropriate follow-up questions to lead the interviewee to the truth. Furthermore, the presentation will examine false confession hazards and the interviewer's role in preventing and spotting a false confession and avoiding claims of coercion and false imprisonment.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe forensic interview techniques and other strategies when conducting interviews of a variety of interviewees, including fraud suspects.

- Discuss interview strategies to break down barriers and build trust with uncooperative, reluctant, and hostile interviewees.
- Discuss how to avoid claims of false imprisonment, coercion, and false confessions.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

C12: The Front Porch of the University - Hot Topics in Athletics

Brian Daniels, Chief Audit and Compliance Officer, University of Tennessee

Timothy Parker, Senior Auditor for Special Projects, Virginia Tech

Auditing an intercollegiate athletics department can be intimidating, but it helps if you understand the basic structure of an athletics department, the major areas in which to focus your audits, and the key terms used. Brian and Tim will combine their knowledge as auditors and auditees of a sizeable NCAA Division I program to share information and answer questions from both perspectives. Whether you've never conducted an audit of athletics and don't know where to start, are an experienced athletics auditor with insights to share, or simply wondered what actually goes on inside an athletics compliance office . . . don't leave Baltimore early, join us on Thursday morning!

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Better understand areas of greatest risk within an intercollegiate athletics department.
- Confidently develop a meaningful series of audits for athletics.
- Efficiently and effectively deploy IA resources to maximize value.
- Utilize available NCAA resources to prepare for, and assist in, your audits.
- Recognize some of the new hot topics in college athletics.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

D1: Fraud/Not Fraud

Angela McCarter, Assistant Director, Office of Internal Audit, The University of Texas at Austin

Dyan Hudson, Director, Specialty Audit Services, The University of Texas System

When the numbers don't add up.... When you know someone is lying.... When it just doesn't "smell" right.... Fraud or not fraud? In this presentation, we will use the elements of the fraud triangle to more clearly define the work we are performing -- is it an Investigation? Is it a Review? Is it just an Inquiry? Through case studies, we will apply these definitions to decide: Fraud/Not Fraud.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain how to narrow the definition of "investigation" (versus what is an "inquiry" or a "review").
- Using the elements of the fraud triangle, determine which of the case studies were or were not fraud.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing/Behavioral Ethics

Prerequisites: None

D2: TBD

D3: Academic Program Analysis/Contribution Margin Analysis – Internal Audit and BKD partnering to support institutional success

Michelle Finley, Chief Audit Executive, Oklahoma State University and Agriculture and Mechanical Colleges

Joe Diaz, Assistant Chief Audit Executive, Oklahoma State University and Agriculture and Mechanical Colleges

In 2018, Oklahoma State University Institute of Technology (OSUIT) engaged BKD to perform a Contribution Margin Analysis project. The project entailed gathering numerous data points, performing analysis and review, and entry into a data visualization tool, Tableau. Institutional leaders were able to view data and understand the information to select programs to “start, stop, sustain, or grow.” The Office of Internal Audit discussed the success of the project with OSUIT leadership and the Board of Regents and decided to partner with BKD and another institution to perform the same project. Internal Audit believes the results will enable leadership to assess academic and athletic program strengths and weaknesses. This presentation will outline the two case studies and walk through the Tableau tool used for both. Additionally, the presenters will discuss the outcomes related to the projects and how Internal Audit was able to support institutional leadership in improving operations.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe how BKD provided institutional leadership with data visualization tools to evaluate programs to make “better and faster decisions on which programs to start, stop, sustain, or grow.”
- Discuss how the Office of Internal Audit effectively partnered with BKD on an additional project involving contribution margin analysis.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Management Services/Computer Software & Applications

Prerequisites: Experience with data analysis

D4: Getting the Most Out of Your Hotline

Will Hancock, Audit Manager, Auburn University

A well-managed hotline is an important element of an organization’s internal control environment and can provide valuable warning signs of

fraud, misconduct, or other violations. However, it should be implemented in a way that makes sense for your organization, it should be actively promoted, and it must be continuously managed. How do you tell if you are getting the most out of your hotline?

This course will provide an overview of the various factors that should be considered when configuring a hotline, including the structure of the hotline, the methods of advertising the hotline, the roles of the various responders, and the need for policy to complement the hotline.

Participants will also have an opportunity to discuss various metrics to evaluate the effectiveness of a hotline.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify key elements of hotline management and incident response.
- Develop an approach for effectively addressing hotline reports.
- Identify key metrics to evaluate hotline management.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

D5: Don't Let Conflicts of Interest Corrupt Your Culture

Robert Berry, Executive Director – Internal Audit, University of South Alabama

Most of us want to work with people we know and trust. On one hand, this makes the workplace enjoyable. On the other hand, hiring your spouse and paying him/her more than others in similar positions can kill morale. Businesses must be careful when employing and/or doing business with friends, family members and acquaintances.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify and describe various types of conflicts.
- Discuss the operating environment and factors that make it more susceptible to conflicts.
- Evaluate oversight process and test conflict monitoring mechanisms.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: One year internal audit experience

D6: Gift Acceptance: Balancing the Institution's Mission with Donor Intent

Kimberly Macedo, Senior Manager, Baker Tilly

Donations provide critical support to the overall operations of colleges and universities and the overall mission of the institution. As recipients of donor gifts, colleges and universities must strive to uphold the core principles of academic independence along with maintaining quality donor cultivation and stewardship activities. This includes assessing gift agreement language for potential conflicts with the institution's mission, such as a public commitment to protect the scholarly freedom and to ensure an open academic climate. Our presentation will provide first-hand knowledge with helping colleges and universities gain greater insight and visibility into the gift acceptance process, specifically highlighting academic independence considerations. We will share experiences and perspectives on how to add value to an institution through the development of escalation considerations for gift agreements that require additional reviews, standard gift agreement templates and language, and gift agreement policy considerations.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Learn how to develop gift acceptance policies and procedures to ensure a balance between academic enterprise and donor engagement.
- Share leading practices related to policy principles and potential escalation criteria that would lead to additional review of gift agreements based on specific flags and thresholds.

- Offer ideas for how to establish on-going analysis and assessment of gift acceptance policies and procedures.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

D7: Developments in Tax Reform and State and Local Tax Matters - Where Should an Internal Auditor Focus

Raymond Ly, Sr. Manager, Tax (Development and Exempt Organizations), KPMG LLP

Jonathan Weinberg, Tax Senior Manager, KPMG LLP

During this session we will discuss and identify Post-Tax Reform Risk Areas applicable to colleges and universities (UBIT Bucketing, Transportation Fringe Benefits, Excise Tax on Executive Compensation). A discussion of the developing higher-ed sales tax nexus challenges in a post-Wayfair world will also be included.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Recognize developing exposure areas and issues related to federal and state tax compliance associated with Tax Reform.
- Design institutional audit plans and projects to identify and mitigate risk areas associated with federal and state tax compliance.

Knowledge Level: Update

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Taxes

Prerequisites: Education and experience in federal and state tax

D8: Student Recruitment and Tuition Remission-Assessment Tools and Strategies for Auditors

David Terry, Director of Internal Audit, Portland State University

This session is designed to help auditors that have limited to no experience auditing remissions and student recruitment practices to obtain industry knowledge and resources to successfully tackle an audit of this area. David will provide you insights into analytical and detailed testing procedures for your audit team to consider when auditing remission programs. In addition, this training module is designed to provide you with resources for how to benchmark your institution's student recruitment efforts to industry practices and steps to consider when analyzing student recruitment data. Moreover, David will provide examples of fraud risks for your audit team to consider when reviewing remissions and recruitment efforts.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify strategies to analyze student recruitment.
- Discuss industry best practices for student recruitment.
- Apply internal controls to help mitigate financial risks related to remissions including controls to mitigate fraudulent remissions.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: Experience in Financial Aid and SEVIS Reporting

Terminology

D9: What the Heck is Analytics and Why Do I Care?

Trevor Hughes, Senior Auditor for Data Analytics, Virginia Tech

To some auditors, analytics is a semi-mysterious term used to describe statistical and probability based approaches to evaluating data. That's certainly one answer. To other auditors, analytics is the black art of obtaining and organizing data for more traditional audit efforts. That is yet another answer. The reality is that those, along with many other aspects are all correct answers, and that the correct answer varies by application. This presentation intends to assist auditors in understanding what analytics mean within their environment, how to identify an appropriate level of analysis, and how to apply higher level

analytical tools and methods. The presentation includes practical examples and demonstrations of analytical tools and techniques.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain what analytics are and are not.
- Describe applications for analytics.
- Identify opportunities where applying analytics can make your audits more efficient or effective.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: Experience in Data Analytics

D10: Make Your Audits More Relevant-Capitalize on ERM!

John Kiss, Director, Baker Tilly

Sharon Kurek, Executive Director of Audit, Risk, and Compliance, Virginia Tech

Patricia Snopkowski, Chief Executive of Audit, Risk and Compliance, Oregon State University

Joanna Rojas, Director, University Audits, Duke University

In early 2019, Baker Tilly engaged CAE's from seven leading universities to understand their ERM programs and discuss how that was affecting their internal audit program. The seven institutions are in different phases of fully implementing ERM. We would share the key takeaways from this process, in areas such as:

- Streamlining the risk assessment process
- Developing actionable risk mitigation plans
- Monitoring progress on risk mitigation
- Leveraging the ERM risk assessment for internal audit planning
- Transitioning internal audit to address ERM risks.

This presentation would include the CAE's from at least two of those institutions who would provide case studies addressing:

- How they helped get the ERM program established,
- How ERM is evolving,

- How they are focusing on risk mitigation, and finally
- How the role of internal audit is changing.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Discuss how to get ERM started.
- Discuss recent developments in ERM, such as better risk mitigation.
- Transform internal audit into a role providing positive assurance on risk mitigation.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: Experience in Enterprise Risk Management

D11: Strategic Partnering: Building a Winning Platform for Construction Auditing

Kimberly (Kim) Turner, Chief Audit Executive, Texas Tech University System

Michael Molina, Chief Architect and Associate VP for Facilities Planning & Management, Southern Methodist University

Experience the power of audit multiplied through strategic partnering with management. This session examines how Audit's partnership with Facilities Planning & Construction to develop a multi-faceted construction audit platform produced dramatic results. Cost avoidance and recovery are at an all-time high; the playing field of design professional and contractor partners has widened dramatically; increased competition is helping drive cost savings; and the Facilities office has achieved an unprecedented level of trust across the institution. Board members and senior management value the partnership as a model for the institution. Participants will interactively plan their next strategic partnership through the lens of examining this strategic partnership's genesis, division of responsibilities between Audit

and Facilities, and impact of the partnership within the governance structure of the institution. In addition, after learning about the operational changes in Facilities, best practices in construction cost compliance, and hot spots, participants will develop a plan to address construction cost risk for their institutions.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Develop a plan to initiate and structure a strategic partnership with management.
- Articulate a division of responsibilities that protects Audit's independence and results in proactive change.
- Identify risks related to construction costs and plan audit steps to address them.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

D12: Everything You Always Wanted to Know about Auxiliaries...and Then Some!

Toni Stephens, Chief Audit Executive, The University of Texas at Dallas

If you've never taken fund accounting, or if you're new to higher education, you may have no idea what the heck an Auxiliary is, or you may think it's that cord we use in our cars if we don't have Bluetooth. Auditing Auxiliary Enterprises is similar to auditing in the corporate world, and auditing them can be very fun - but full of risks - including that famous five letter "F" word!

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify common auxiliary operations at campuses
- Explain common risk and controls across the auxiliary areas
- Discuss common pitfalls and difficulties in conducting auxiliary reviews

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

Bonus Session 1A: Delivering Value - Comparing Two Philosophies

Kelsey Bahadursingh, Assistant Director, Vanderbilt University

Bruce Weisman, Associate Director, Vanderbilt University

Join us as we explore our department's overhaul of our audit process in order to streamline procedures and increase productivity. In order to accomplish our objectives, we revised our audit templates, implemented data analytics, started time tracking, re-formatted our audit reports, and commenced a follow-up process. As a result, our team (six auditors, two directors and one AVC) completed more than 30 projects in fiscal year 2019. During this session, we will share lessons learned and have roundtable discussions regarding various experiences participants have had within their own audit shop. Come ready for some engaging conversations, and bring along your own ideas and best practices!

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Evaluate their audit shops value using the philosophies and tools discussed during the session.
- Implement lean and agile audit techniques and significantly increase productivity.
- Share audit results with varying levels of stakeholders – process owners, executive leadership, and board of trust.

Knowledge Level: Intermediate

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: Experience in internal auditing

Bonus Session 1B: How to Leverage Tableau to Find Travel Fraud

Aaron Cohen, Forensic Auditor, Georgia Institute of Technology

Join us to explore how Tableau can help you identify faculty and staff that may be taking advantage of the travel system. Additionally, it can pinpoint policy violations, and areas that need managerial improvement. Using a data visualization software, such as Tableau, will help you key into who is a problematic traveler, quickly review travel allegations, and give you the tools you need to be proactive in combatting fraud.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Describe how to increase travel review efficiencies.
- Identify common fraud red flags associated with travel.
- Apply data visualization tools to identify target populations of faculty and staff.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Specialized Knowledge

Prerequisites: None

Bonus Session 2A: Training for the Trenches - Implementing Fraud Awareness Training at Your Organization

Laura Ling, Audit Manager, University of Florida

Employees who approve P-card transactions, time and expense reports, and purchase requisitions are often best situated to prevent and detect fraud. Unfortunately, these employees are rarely equipped with appropriate anti-fraud training to identify and respond to red flags. Teaching employees what to look for and empowering them to ask questions and raise concerns can have a significant impact on an organization's fraud prevention program. This class will discuss the University of Florida's recent experience with implementing a Fraud Awareness Training program. It will include the key components of the fraud awareness training, including case study materials used to help university staff visualize what prior frauds have looked like. It will also include lessons learned during the first nine months of the Fraud Awareness training program.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain the impact employee fraud awareness training can have on preventing and detecting fraud.
- Identify key topics to communicate to employees through fraud awareness training.
- Leverage lessons learned by our organization while rolling out fraud awareness training.

Knowledge Level: Overview

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Auditing

Prerequisites: None

Bonus Session 2B: Applying Analytical Procedures to Labor Cost Transfers in a Banner Environment

Trevor Hughes, Senior Auditor for Data Analytics, Virginia Tech

Labor is normally the largest single cost associated with Federal research grants and contracts. At Virginia Tech, these costs are normally applied to specific grants through labor cost transfers. Because this process is how the majority of cost is applied to each award, it is also a critical process to both understand and to audit. Virginia Tech examined the way that these transactions were being audited and found a way to do so more efficiently, effectively, and with higher quality than had previously been the case. As part of this process, we re-examined how the data was recorded in Banner and found ways of re-examining Excel formulas that cut the calculation time from hours to minutes and which permitted detailed examination of the largest departments and the automated identification of non-compliant transfers. This presentation will examine the base ideas of what labor cost transfers are and why they matter, how they are recorded in Banner, and what the analysis revealed. The largest portion of this presentation will be a discussion of how to reconsider how formulas are structured in Excel and how to make choices that can dramatically affect the overall performance of an analysis.

After this session, participants will be able to:

- Explain the purpose of labor cost transfers.
- Analyze data from a Banner system to better audit labor cost transfers.
- Identify problematic labor cost transfers.

Knowledge Level: Basic

Advanced Preparation: None

Field of Study: Communications and Marketing

Prerequisites: None